



**The Corporation of the  
VILLAGE OF FRUITVALE**  
*In the "HEART OF THE BEAVER VALLEY"*  
**ADDENDUM 1**

**DATE:** April 20, 2026  
**PROJECT:** OCP – Zoning, 2026  
**FROM:** Village of Fruitvale

This Addendum is issued prior to the Request for Proposal Closing Date of April 27, 2026.

**Q1:** Please provide some background information on the middle school master plan.

**Q1 Response:**

For background information, copies of the following 2 documents are available by emailing [cao@village.fruitvale.bc.ca](mailto:cao@village.fruitvale.bc.ca). The documents were discussed at the Village of Fruitvale Committee of the Whole meeting of March 23, 2026:

- Former Beaver Valley Middle School Master Plan, 2020
- RFP – Fruitvale Multi Housing – 2024-01-10 – the **EXPIRED RFP** for the “duplex land”. This document gives background on the “duplex” portion of the site.

Council Resolution RES-198-2025

*That staff be directed to prepare to update the Official Community Plan in 2026 with the goal to adopt a new OCP in 2027.*

March 24, 2026: Staff is preparing an Request for Proposals (RFP) to hire qualified consultant(s) to prepare a comprehensive revision of the OCP, which includes infrastructure capacity analysis and findings of Interim Housing Needs Report as well as updates based on work undertaken in the Village since 2011 (this includes findings of the 2 attached documents).

**Q2:** The Village currently relies on its municipal website and does not have a dedicated engagement platform. The RFP notes a potential development of a separate project website to manage communications – can the Village clarify if this would be:

- a webpage within the existing site (either Village’s or consultant’s)
- a third-party engagement platform (e.g., Bang the Table – could be very cost-heavy)
- a survey tool (e.g., SimpleSurvey, SurveyMonkey)
- a webpage + survey tool, or
- other?

**Q2 Response:**

No clarification. The Village is looking for the consultant’s direction on the type of platform to be used in the project communication plan.

**Q3:** Would the Village lead engagement with local First Nations (government-to-government) with consultant support or is the expectation that the consultant would facilitate engagement with local First Nations depending on how local First Nations want to be engaged (e.g., dedicated meetings, inform only, etc.)?

**Q3 Response:**

It is expected the consultant would facilitate engagement with the local First Nations.

**Q4:** Can the Village clarify the desired intensity for engagement? For example, the RFP lists one informal public meeting/open house and one Council presentation as minimums, with potential for Steering Committee involvement. Is the Village open to or expecting additional engagement activities such as targeted interested groups workshops?

**Q4 Response:**

The Village is open to additional engagement activities.

**Q5:** Was there any addendum issued or posted elsewhere?

**Q5 Response:**

This is the first addendum issued – dated April 20, 2026.

**Q6:** Could the Village provide some more clarity on one of the bullet items: *Comprehensive detailed capacity analysis (e.g., water and sewer build-out capacity, serviced land availability) is suggested as part of the overall OCP update, to support long-term planning.* Can the Village confirm if a water and/or sewer model already exists or if we will need to create them as part of the water and sewer capacity analysis?

**Q6 Response:**

The Village confirms there is a sewer model to assess capacity and condition assessments. Through the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary, the Beaver Valley Water System has a water model.

**Q7:** In Section 8, are the evaluation criteria weighted differently, or are all criteria given equal weight?

**Q7 Response**

All criteria are given equal weight.

*Patricia Dehnel*

---

Patricia Dehnel, RPP MCIP  
Chief Administrative Officer